UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,981	02/28/2000	IZUO AOKI	145084	7006
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/12/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	PUTTLITZ, KARL J		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/486,981	AOKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		KARL J. PUTTLITZ	1621			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1 \[		ılv 2011				
2a)[		action is non-final.				
	<del>_</del>		set forth during the	e interview on		
٥/١	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
4)Γ	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		e merits is		
'/L	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Dieno	· ·	A parto adaylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	70 0.G. 210.			
	sition of Claims					
6)[ 7) <b>[</b> 8)[	5) ☐ Claim(s) 35-45 is/are pending in the application.  5a) Of the above claim(s) 42-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 35-41 is/are rejected.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applic	ation Papers					
<ul> <li>10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachn	nent(s)					
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

The rejection under section 112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

The rejection under section 112, first paragraph is maintained in part, below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The structure of the product compounds remains unclear.

The prior art rejections are maintained below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 35 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DATABASE CAPLUS CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; Database Accession No. 1981:174514, Abstract of PRESHAD ET AL.: Indian Journal of Chemistry, Section B: Organic Chemistry Including Medicinal Chemistry (1980), 19B(9), 822-3 (Preshad).

Preshad teaches the following reaction:

Clathrates can be formed by adding this compound to a solvent in which it is not soluble.

Claim 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DATABASE CAPLUS CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; Database Accession No. 1981:174514, Abstract of PRESHAD ET AL.: Indian Journal of Chemistry, Section B: Organic Chemistry Including Medicinal Chemistry (1980), 19B(9), 822-3 (Maerov).

Maerov teaches the following compound which can be a reaction product between a compound of formula (IV) and benzoic acid:

The method steps are immaterial, see MPEP 2113 (""[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or

obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted)").

Clathrates can be formed by adding this compound to a solvent in which it is not soluble.

Claim 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,024,270 to Havens et al. (Havens).

Havens teaches the following compounds at column 1:

Clathrates can be formed by adding this compound to a solvent in which it is not soluble.

Claim 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DATABASE CAPLUS CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; Database Accession No. 1975:526069, Abstract of KAISER ET AL.: Journal of Medicinal Chemistry (1975), 18(7), 674-83 (Kaiser).

Kaiser teaches thefollowing compound that can be the reaction product between a compound of formula (VI) and acetic acid:

The method steps are immaterial, see MPEP 2113 (""[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted)").

Clathrates can be formed by adding this compound to a solvent in which it is not soluble.

Claim 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DATABASE CAPLUS CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; Database Accession No. 1955:22263, Abstract of YAMAKAZI: Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi (1954), 57, 307-9 (Yamakazi).

Yamakazi teaches the following compound that can be the reaction product between a compound of formula (I) and acetic acid:

The method steps are immaterial, see MPEP 2113 (""[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior

Art Unit: 1621

product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted)").

Clathrates can be formed by adding this compound to a solvent in which it is not soluble.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach or suggest a resulting clathrate compound. However, the references substantially teach the reactions and compounds covered by the claims. And therefore any physical or chemical characteristic is necessarily possessed by the disclosed compounds, see MPEP 2112.01 ("Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990) . . . "Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re* Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990)"). Here, Applicant has not demonstrated that the recited compounds are not clathrate compounds.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,981 Page 8

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Karl J. Puttlitz/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621